TTU010 : Timetabling Service- Scientia Upgrade - Project Brief

Project Brief

This project will upgrade UoE environments DEV, TEST, TRN and LIVE to the latest release of Scientia Enterprise (currently v3.10) – v3.12 has been released in March 2015.

Scientia do not normally provide patches to an existing version of their software so upgrading the current environment will allow the university to take advantage of bug fixes applied since 3.10 was released. New functionality supplied in the new version will be assessed and any performance improvements measured.

Although the end of support for 3.10 has not yet been announced, upgrading to the new environment in good time will ensure that support for the current timetabling system will be guaranteed further into the future.

1. Overview

1.1 Background

The Timetabling service is delivered via a number of applications and interfaces. There are a number of applications that are delivered by our third party supplier (Scientia Ltd). Scientia release upgrades to the core applications each year. The current service is based on 3.10 and this will be replaced by a service based on 3.12

Rather then upgrade *in situ*, the project will use the upgrade plan and approach delivered by <u>TTU009</u>, and will build a suite of <u>paralell environments</u> which will replace the existing 3.10 DEV,TEST, LIVE and TRN environments.

The new environments will have the additional benefit of being based on Windows 2012 and will have applied SQLserver 2014, as currently used by Scientia in their development environments.

The first stage of the project will build the new 3.12 DEV environment which the Timetabling team will test to confirm that the business wishes to proceed with the upgrade to 3.12. The project will then build the remaining environments in parallel with the corresponding existing environments which are based on Scientia 3.10 software. The project will also investigate whether some deliverables from the annual roll-forward project (SDB and webapps) can be incorporated into the upgrade and whether automation can be used to improve the efficiency of delivering these annual steps.

As the upgrade will be delivered by providing the service in a paralell environment, the following applications will be affected and all will be tested during UAT.

- SDBs
- Reporting DBs
- SDB Listeners
- Portal
- Enterprise Applications (ET, RDM, ECP)
- Hot Images
- Web Applications (Staff/Student WRB, Web Timetables, PADS, Onelan)
- SPDAs

The following applications will not be affected but will have to be repointed to the new datasources and will be included in functional testing:

- Course Timetable Browser
- Bookable Rooms
- Learn Building Block
- SSRS
- BI Suite
- MyEd channels
- PÁDS
- OneLan

1.2 Scope

Testing of 3.12 upgrade against business requirements

Investigation of incorporation of some annual roll-forward steps into upgrade process

For avoidance of doubt, the following items are not within the scope of this project:

- Any change to the disaster recovery or resilience solutions or service levels for the Timetabling service.
- Disaster recovery testing (carried out recently as part of TTu007)

1.3 Objectives and Deliverables

No	Description	Teams	Priority	Notes
	Stage 1 - analysis			
01	Ensure that the upgrade is fit for purpose, checking that changes to applications or infrastructure including downstream systems are not negatively impacted.			
D1	Review the release notes for the upgrade to 3.12, and amend the existing build document as required	IS APPS	М	agreed would start in 14/15 - now achieved
D2	Carry out a standalone non production environment installation, testing the features as well as carrying out regression testing - this will be limited to Enterprise Applications, Hot Image, SDB, RDB and UoE Views	IS APPS/TTU	M	agreed would start in 14/15 - now achieved
D3	Make a recommendation whether or not to proceed or not with 3.12 in the DEV, TEST, LIVE and TRN environments Stage 2 - build and	IS APPS/TTU	М	agreed would start in 14/15 - now achieved
O2	acceptance Deliver the 3.12 software			
D2	Deliver the 3.12 software			

Unless otherwise explicitly stated, all content is copyright © University of Edinburgh 2010.

		•	•	
	into each of the new			
	environments ensuring that			
	a consistent set of			
	environments is left at the			
D.4	end of the project.	IO ADDO/TTU		Atania ta na atriat
D4	Agreed method of change	IS APPS/TTU	М	Aim is to restrict
	control to operate for all			configuration changes in 3.10 environments to
	environments during			
	duration of this project			essential change only - so that these can be recorded
				and applied to 3.12.
D5	Create the remaining three	IS APPS	M	and applied to 3.12.
53	paralell environments, with	IO ALLO	IVI	
	Scientia 3.12 software			
	installed. (TEST, LIVE and			
	TRN)			
D6	Carry out functional testing	IS APPS/TTU	М	
	on remaining three			
	environments and			
	interfaces (TEST, LIVE and			
	TRN)			
D7	Test the 3.12 RDB presents	IS APPS	М	
	in the same way as 3.10 so			
	that all interfaces can			
	connect as expected.			
D8	Investigate roll-forward of	IS APPS	М	If incorporated will transfer
	SDB and automation of			budget from roll-forward
	webapps roll-forward as part			project
	of upgrade			
D9	Agree load test scenarios	IS APPS/TTU	М	
	and carry out load testing			
D10	Prepare test plan and carry	TTU	М	TTU to circulate
	out full UAT on new LIVE			
	environment. Signoff to			
	include incoming interfaces.			
00	Stage 3 - Delivery			
03	Switchover from current			
	LIVE, TEST, DEV and TRN			
	environments to new 3.12 environments			
D11	Transfer data from current	IS APPS	M	
	LIVE SDB into new 3.12	IS ALL S	IVI	
	LIVE environment and			
	switch production service to			
	new 3.12 LIVE.			
D12	Transfer data from current	IS APPS	M	
	LIVE SDB into new 3.12	.0710		
	TEST environment and			
	switch test service to new			
	3.12 TEST.			
D13		IS APPS	М	
	LIVE SDB into new 3.12			
	DEV environment and			
1	switch development service			
	to new 3.12 DEV.			
D14	Transfer data from current	IS APPS	HD	
	LIVE SDB into new 3.12			
	TRN environment and			
	switch training service to			
	new 3.12 TRN.			
04	Prepare training material for			
D45	any adopted new features	TT! !		
D15		TTU	Þ	
1	testing material (to be			
	delivered by timetabling unit)			
	Store A. Jensey			
05	Stage 4 - legacy			
O5 D16	Remove legacy servers	IS APPS	M	
ا ا	Decomission 3.10 LIVE, TEST, DEV and TRN	IO AFFO	IVI	
	environments			
L	environments			I

1.4 Benefits

The main benefit of adopting the upgraded software is the continued support of the third party supplier Scientia. Typically when operating an older version of the software bug fixes will not be considered and any fixes will typically require an update, Scientia do not traditionally support patching and back porting of fixes.

The secondary benefits in this particular upgrade are the introduction of some new features:

- Offline Enterprise Timetabler is made available and allows the use of Enterprise tools in an offline capacity, which was previously limited to the older desktop application (Syllabus Plus Classic)
- Large scale data changes reduced, the datasync module has been improved to allow quicker refreshes of information into Enterprise Timetabler. The benefit is yet to be proved but any reduction in refresh times would be greatly beneficial to the 300 users of Enterprise.

Additional secondary benefits from the paralell-build approach:

- The new servers will be based in Windows 2012
- SQLserver will be upgraded to 2014
- As a paralell LIVE environment will be created prior to switchiver to 3.12, immediate rollback of the LIVE environment will be possible.
 However if a rollback is required after a period of time, the benefit of the new approach will be dininished.
- The data in TEST, DEV and TRN will closely resemble that in LIVE
- Knowledge will be more practically shared amongst team members

1.5 Success Criteria

Stage 1

• Fully assess the impact of moving to 3.12 the timetabling service and make a clear recommendation about whether or not to proceed with the switch to 3.12

Stage 2

- Delivery of the 3.12 software into all of the new paralell environments ensuring a consistent configuration between environments is maintained (as agreed by change control process)
- Switchover from current LIVE, TEST, DEV and TRN environments to new 3.12 environments
- Continued successful operation of operational processes after switchover
- Delivery of the rolled-forward 16/17 SDB
- Investigation of automation of webapps roll-forward in new 3.12 environments.
- Preparation of any neccessary new documentation or training materials for timetabling users
- Decomissioning of legacy environments (ie current LIVE, TEST, DEV and TRN)

Project Milestones

,			
Target Date	Title	Stage	Complete
	3.12 assessment signoff	Plan	Yes
28-Aug-2015	Build review DEV	Build	Yes
11-Sep-2015	End of Planning	Plan	No
21-Sep-2015	Delivery of 3.12 environments	Build	No
28-Sep-2015	Complete functional testing of	Accept	No
	<u>3.12 TRN</u>		
28-Sep-2015	Complete functional testing of	Integrate	No
	3.12 TEST		
28-Sep-2015	Complete functional testing of	Integrate	No
	3.12 LIVE		
14-Oct-2015	Complete UAT signoff in 3.12	Accept	No
	<u>LIVE</u>		



Target Date	Title	Stage	Complete
19-Oct-2015	Candidate 16/17 SDB available	Deliver	No
26-Oct-2015	Deliver 3.12 LIVE production	Deliver	No
	<u>environment</u>		
26-Oct-2015	Acceptance - GO/NOGO for LIVE	Deliver	No
	switchover		
29-Oct-2015	Deliver 3.12 TEST environment	Deliver	No
02-Nov-2015	Deliver 3.12 DEV environment	Deliver	No
09-Nov-2015	Deliver 3.12 TRN environment	Deliver	No
13-Nov-2015	Deployment sign off review	Deliver	No
16-Nov-2015	<u>Close</u>	Close	No

2. Impact

2.1 Priority and Funding

The is a compliance project, funded from the IS Applications Core Funding, with a priority 2 status.

2.2 Impact and Dependencies

This project has the potential to interfere with other Timetabling projects. To mitigate this is has been agreed (as recommended in TTU009) to build a set of paralell timetabling servers with Scientia 3.12 software and to switch over to these from the existing servers. The justification for this is that it is impractical to carry out work with a mixed economy of environments where features being tested or developments may be impacted by different versions of the software operating across DEV, TEST and LIVE. The project will also investigate whether some aspects of the annual roll-forward requirements can be incorporated, allowing early delivery of these requirements.

To ensure that any essential changes applied to the 3.10 environments are carried over to the new 3.12 environments, a change control process, to last for the duration of the project, will be agreed between the project team, the production team and the timetabling team.

2.3 Out of scope

Carry over of non-essential changes to non-production environments.

Project Risks				
<u>Title</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>Probability</u>	<u>Status</u>	Risk Owner
Change to usual build	High	Low	Open	Mark Lang
<u>processes</u>				
Delay to delivery may	Medium	Low	Open	Morna Findlay
impact on other timetabling				
project or support work				
3.12 may introduce bugs	High	Low	Open	Scott Rosie
into current processes				
UAT plan may fail to	High	Low	Open	Sabrina Niziolek
uncover 3.12 issues				
Unavailability of Business	High	Low	Open	Scott Rosie
Resources			_	
Unavailability of IS	Medium	Medium	Open	Morna Findlay
resources			_	
new procedures may be	High	Low	Open	David McNicol
required to test 3.12 with				
existing interfaces			_	
3.12 performance may be	Medium	Medium	Open	David McNicol
<u>unacceptable</u>				
Changes introduced to	Medium	Medium	Open	Scott Rosie
current environments may				
add to scope				
new version of RDB may	Medium	Medium	Open	Scott Rosie
not present as current				
<u>version</u>				



3. Organisation

3.1 Work/Task Breakdown

IS APPS Project services - QA, project and programme management (40)

IS Applications development technology - QA, deliver 3.12 servers and switchover process (80)

IS Applications development services - QA, interfaces and advise during UAT (12)

IS Applications production services - QA and advise during UAT and switchiover process. Deployment checklist and handover from project to support.(10)

Timetabling Unit - UAT and QA

3.2 Resources Skills and Cost

Project services

users

Arthur Wilson

Development Technology

Applications Management

Technology Management

Project Stakeholders

Development Services - Corporate and Academic Services

Project Stakeholders		
<u>Name</u>	Business Area	Role
Scott Rosie	Timetabling Unit	Business Owner and Project Sponsor
Sabrina Niziolek	Timetabling Unit	Business Analyst
Morna Findlay	IS Applications Project Services	Project Manager
David McNicol	IS Applications Development Technology	Senior Technical Architect
Emilio Perez Lopez	IS Applications Development Technology	Technical Architect
Mark Dobbing	IS Applications Development Technology	Technical Architect
Franck Bergeret	IS Applications Project Services	Programme Manager
Suran Perera	Is Applications Applications Management	Senior Support Analyst
<u>Heather Larnach</u>	IS Applications Technology Management	Senior Support Analyst
Stuart Craig	Is Applications Applications Management	Support Analyst
Chris Cord	IS Applications Technology Management	Support Analyst
Timetabling and room booking	All	Users of service

IS Service Management Service Owner Bookable Rooms Include in milestone discussions database and alerts

IDM Service Owner

LEARN Service owner **Anne Marie Scott** IS TEL Include in milestone discussions

and alerts

Communication Plan

Chris McKay IS Service Management

Karen Osterburg Student Systems Resource manager for possible test data requirements



TTU010 : Timetabling Service- Scientia Upgrade - Project Brief

https://www.projects.ed.ac.uk/project/ttu010/brief

NameBusiness AreaRoleCommunication PlanAndrew McFarlaneIS Service ManagementBI Service owner

Project Estimations

Title Spreadsheet Stage Date Completed

Estimates at initiation Initiate Dupgrade project Initiate O1-Jul-2015

1516.xls

<u>plan</u> Plan 14-Sep-2015

Source URL (retrieved on 17-Sep): https://www.projects.ed.ac.uk/project_content/export/45224/0