## SMI003 UniDesk CMDB Closure Survey results

## Survey overview

Number of respondents: 4 Expected number of respondents: 50 Response rate: 8.0% Launch date: 10 Jun 2014 Close date: 16 Jun 2014

## Section 1: Feedback and Assessment of Project

<b>1.</b> All participants in the project are aske Closure Review.	ed to complete this questionnaire so that the data collected will reflect many viewpoints and	l can be used to	complete the
1.a. Were the Business Requirements fo	r this project met? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0
3:		0.0%	0
4:		50.0%	2
5:		50.0%	2
N/A:		0.0%	0
<b>1.a.i.</b> Were the Business Requirements f projects.	for this project met? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us in	mprove this aspe	ect of our
<b>1.b.</b> Were the Objectives for this project	met? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0
3:		0.0%	0
4:		50.0%	2
5:		50.0%	2
N/A:		0.0%	0
1.b.i. Were the Objectives for this projectives	ct met? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this a	aspect of our pro	ojects.
<b>1.c.</b> Was the project within Budget? Pl	lease indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0
3:		25.0%	1
4:		25.0%	1
5:		50.0%	2
N/A:		0.0%	0
1.c.i. Was the project within Budget?	If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of o	ur projects.	
<b>1.d.</b> Did the project stay within Scope? -	Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0
3:		0.0%	0
4:		50.0%	2
5:		50.0%	2
N/A:		0.0%	0
1.d.i. Did the project stay within Scope?	$\dot{r}$ If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect $\dot{r}$	of our projects.	
<b>1.e.</b> Did the project deliver to Timescale	s? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		75.0%	3
3.		0.0%	0

0.0% 25.0%	0
25.0%	1
0.0%	0
0.0%	0
score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our project	cs.
elivered? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)	
0.0%	0
0.0%	0
0.0%	0
75.0%	3
25.0%	1
0.0%	0
	0.0%         score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our project delivered? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)         0.0%

1.g. Were milestones met? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:	0.0%	0
2:	25.0%	1
3:	50.0%	2
4:	25.0%	1
5:	0.0%	0
N/A:	0.0%	0
.g.i. Were milestones met? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate wh	y to help us improve this aspect of our projects.	
.h. Were risks managed effectively? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	25.0%	1
4:	50.0%	2
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	0.0%	0
.h.i. Were risks managed effectively? If you score a section less than a 4, please i	ndicate why to help us improve this aspect of our projects.	
i. Were issues managed effectively? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	0.0%	0
4:	100.0%	4
5:	0.0%	0
N/A:	0.0%	0
.i.i. Were issues managed effectively? If you score a section less than a 4, please	indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our projects.	
.j. Was testing robust and managed effectively? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5		
	0.0%	0
2:	25.0%	1
3:	25.0%	1
4:	25.0%	1
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	0.0%	0
.j.i. Was testing robust and managed effectively? If you score a section less than a		
<b>.k.</b> Was estimation for this project good? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	50.0%	
		2
4:	<u> </u>	2
		0
N/A:	0.0%	0
<b>k.i.</b> Was estimation for this project good? If you score a section less than a 4, ple		·•
I. Was resourcing for the project effective? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (hig		
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	50.0%	2
4:	50.0%	2
5:	0.0%	0
N/A:	0.0%	0

N/A:		0.0%	0
1.I.i. Was resourcing for the project effe	ective? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this a	spect of our proj	ects.
1.m. Do you feel you had sufficient and	appropriate participation in the project? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)		
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0
3:		0.0%	0
4:		50.0%	2
5:		50.0%	2
N/A:		0.0%	0
<b>1.m.i.</b> Do you feel you had sufficient and this aspect of our projects.	d appropriate participation in the project? If you score a section less than a 4, please ind	licate why to help	o us improve
1.n. Do you feel the project communications to you and your users were timely and appropriate? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)			
1:		0.0%	0
2:		0.0%	0

3:	25.0%	1
4:	50.0%	2
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	0.0%	0
	tions to you and your users were timely and appropriate? If you score a section less than a 4, please inc	
	effectively with TOPdesk? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)	
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	0.0%	0
4:	50.0%	2
5:	50.0%	2
N/A:	0.0%	0
<b>1.o.i.</b> Do you feel that the project engage	d effectively with TOPdesk? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve	
our projects. <b>1.p.</b> Were meetings were effective? Ple	pase indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)	
1:p. were meetings were enective:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	50.0%	2
4:	25.0%	1
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	0.0%	0
		0
<b>1.q.</b> Were workshops were effective? P	f you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our projects.	
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	0.0%	0
	75.0%	-
4:		3
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	0.0%	0
	If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our projects. effective? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)	
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	0.0%	0
4:	50.0%	2
5:	25.0%	1
N/A:	25.0%	1
	c effective? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of ou	
	fective? Please indicate a score 1 (low) - 5 (high)	
1:	0.0%	0
2:	0.0%	0
3:	0.0%	0
4:	50.0%	2
5:	50.0%	2
N/A:	0.0%	0
	effective? If you score a section less than a 4, please indicate why to help us improve this aspect of our	

2. Are there outstanding actions? If so, please detail what they are.			
Yes:		0.0%	0
No:		100.0%	3
2.a. If there are actions, please indicate what these are and their urgency.			
there is a date issue in the import process for which we have a workaround and which is not critical			

**3.** Please include feedback below on lessons learned from the project, highlighting both areas for improvement and successes

Although it took longer to deliver than originally planned I a very happy that has been achieved - a great place to be to move MCC to CMDB.

as before, although having senior staff on the project brings quality to the work, they have constrained availability and this makes the project timeline extend. Also, the CMDB project was not the priority work in any of the partner institutions so again, this moved milestones out especially for UAT.

4. Please add your name so we can follow up with you.

Stefan Kaempf